UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

John Gould

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:03CR02274-001JB

USM Number: 26332-051

Defense Attorney: Stephen Aarons, Appointed

THE DEFENDA	AN1:				
pleaded no	ilty to count(s) lo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by of not guilty was found guilty on count(s) SI, SI		ndictment		
Γhe defendant is	s adjudicated guilty of these offenses:				
Title and Sectio	n Nature of Offense		Offense Ended	Count Number(s)	
18 U.S.C. Sec. 242	Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law		10/16/2002	SI	
18 U.S.C. Sec. 1512(b)(3)	Witness Tampering		10/17/2002	SII	
	Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law				
18 U.S.C. Sec. 242	Witness Tampering		03/22/2004	SIII	
18 U.S.C. Sec. 1512(b)(3)	withess rampering		03/23/2004	SIV	
Γhe defendant is Reform Act of 1	s sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 7 of the 1984.	nis judgment. The ser	ntence is imposed pur	suant to the Sentencing	
	lant has been found not guilty on count. missed on the motion of the United States.				
name, residence	R ORDERED that the defendant must notify the Us, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, cost estitution, the defendant must notify the court and	sts, and special asses	sments imposed by th	is judgment are fully paid. It	
		May 6, 2009			
		Date of Imposition of Judgment			
		/s/ James O. Browning			
		Signature of Judg	ge		
		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge			
		Name and Title of	f Judge		

January 19, 2011

Case 1:03-cr-02274-JB Document 440 Filed 01/19/11 Page 2 of 7

Date Signed

Judgment - Page 3 of 7

Defendant: John Gould

Case Number: 1:03CR02274-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **97 months**.

A term of 97 months is imposed as to each Counts SI, SII, SIII and SIV; said terms shall run concurrently for a total term of 97 months.

Defendant John Gould's offense level is 33 and the criminal history category is I, establishing a advisory guideline range of 135 to 168 months. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1, however, the guideline imprisonment range is 120 months. The Court has carefully considered the guidelines and other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the guideline sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of defendant. After considering the arguments and the record before it, and having thought extensively about an appropriate sentence, the Court does not believe the punishment set forth in the guidelines is appropriate for the conduct for which Gould was convicted. The Court therefore believes some downward variance is appropriate.

In imposing a sentence, the Court has taken into consideration Gould's profession as a lieutenant at the Dona Ana County Detention Center, which was a dangerous one, and which required him to maintain order and keep a facility safe while working with many dangerous and psychotic individuals. The penal system is relatively dangerous, both to inmates and to prison officials. Reviewing incidents involving other inmates, it is apparent that, while Gould made a mistake in the two cases for which he was convicted, he was largely dedicated to upholding the law. The Court believes the sentence should therefore reflect the good Gould has done in his life, and should take into account that he is not a danger to society at large, though he may have qualities that make him a danger to those in the corrections system. By removing him from a position in the corrections system, that danger is eliminated. Because he has been removed from the circumstances in which he poses a danger, the need for the sentence to reflect adequate deterrence specific to Gould is reduced.

Furthermore, the actions that Gould took should be viewed in their proper context. The incidents did not occur in calm situations. Corrections officers are responsible for the health and safety of many people. As they respond to difficult situations involving potentially dangerous inmates, the officers must strike a delicate balance. The sentence should reflect the context in which the conduct occurred.

At the same time, while the Court sees the need to temper the guidelines to some extent, the Court does not believe a large variance is appropriate. The crimes were cruel and excessive, and resulted in violations of inmates` and prisoners` civil-rights. Congress has instructed that these crimes should be taken seriously in the civil rights context. A large variance would cut against Congress` determination regarding the seriousness of these crimes.

Given those considerations, the Court believes a sentence of 97 months is appropriate. Gould entered into a plea agreement that stipulated to an offense level of 29. See Plea Agreement of Defendant John Gould, filed May 13, 2005 (Doc. 139). An offense level of 29 and a criminal history category of I establishes an advisory guideline range of 87 to 108 months. Gould later moved to withdraw his guilty plea, and the Court granted his motion on May 25, 2006. Although Gould certainly had a right to a trial, see Florida v. Nixon, 543 U.S. 175, 187 (2004), and should not in any way be punished for exercising his constitutional right to trial, Gould's motion to withdraw his guilty plea shows that he has not fully accepted responsibility for his criminal conduct. The Court believes that a sentence at the lower end of the advisory guideline range resulting from a variance of about 3 levels to an offense level of 30 -- 97 to 121 months -- produces a more appropriate range. A sentence of 97 months is certainly long enough to reflect the seriousness of the offense. Such a sentence also affords specific deterrence to Gould, and is serious enough to provide general deterrence to others who might be tempted to commit similar crimes. The sentence adequately protects the public, especially given that Gould is largely a danger only to inmates and prisoners, and he is unlikely to be placed in a similar position again. A 97-month sentence also is long enough to promote respect for the law.

At the same time, the sentence is sufficient, without being excessive, in achieving these objectives. The 120-month sentence that the guidelines provide would be, in the Court's view, excessive. Thus, the Court believes the 97-month sentence properly reflects the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). And while the Court's task as a trial court is not to come up with a reasonable sentence, but to balance the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors correctly, see United States v. Conlan, 500 F.3d 1167, 1169 (10th Cir. 2007)("[A] district court's job is not to impose a reasonable sentence. Rather, a district court's mandate is to impose a sentence sufficient,

Case 1:03-cr-02274-JB Document 440 Filed 01/19/11 Page 4 of 7

but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of section 3553(a)(2).")(citation omitted), the Court believes that this sentence is a reasonable sentence. The Court concludes that this sentence is sufficient without being grater than necessary to

comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act. The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. × The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. **RETURN** I have executed this judgment as follows: Defendant delivered on _____ _____ at _____ with a Certified copy of this judgment. UNITED STATES MARSHAL By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Defendant: John Gould

Case Number: 1:03CR02274-001JB

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of 2 years.

A term of 2 years is imposed as to each of Counts SI, SII, SIII and SIV; said terms shall run concurrently for a total term of 2 years.

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance.

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance.

The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court.

(Check, if applicable.) ☐ The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. (Check, if applicable). ☐ The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable). ☐ The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, et seq.) as directed.		
 ∑ The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable). ∑ The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, et seq.) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable) 		The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)
The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, et seq.) as directe by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable)	×	The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. (Check, if applicable).
by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable)	×	The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable).
The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable)		The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, et seq.) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable)
		The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable)

If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Criminal Monetary Penalties sheet of this judgment.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court; and
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement;

AO 245B (Rev. 12/10) Sheet 3 Judgment - Page 6 of 7

Defendant: John Gould

Case Number: 1:03CR02274-001JB

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

The defendant shall not possess, have under his control, or have access to any firearm, ammunition, explosive device, or other dangerous weapons, as defined by federal, state, or local law.

The defendant must participate in and successfully complete a mental health treatment program, which may include outpatient counseling, residential placement, or prescribed medication as approved by the probation officer. The defendant may be required to pay a portion of the cost of this treatment as determined by the Probation Office.

The defendant will have no contact with the victims at anytime.

Judgment - Page 7 of 7

Defendant: John Gould

Case Number: 1:03CR02274-001JB

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defend	ant must pay the following total criminal monetary penaltic	es in accordance with the scheo	lule of payments.				
□ T	he Court hereby remits the defendant's Special Penalty Ass	sessment; the fee is waived and	l no payment is required.				
Totals:	Assessment	Fine	Restitution				
	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS							
Payments s	hall be applied in the following order (1) assessment; (2) re	estitution; (3) fine principal; (4) cost of prosecution; (5) interest;				
(6) penaltie	s.						
Payment of	the total fine and other criminal monetary penalties shall be	e due as follows:					
The defend	ant will receive credit for all payments previously made to	ward any criminal monetary pe	enalties imposed.				
$A \times$	In full immediately; or						
В	\$ immediately, balance due (see special instructions rega	rding payment of criminal mor	netary penalties).				

Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties: Criminal monetary penalties are to be made payable by cashier's check, bank or postal money order to the U.S. District Court Clerk, 333 Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 unless otherwise noted by the court. Payments must include defendant's name, current address, case number and type of payment.

No restitution has been identified in this case, therefore, no restitution will be ordered at this time.

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.